

Classroom Shame Resilience Strategies

July 11, 2025

PURPOSE

This job aid provides instructional strategies that support shame resilience in the classroom. The strategies are organized into a rubric designed for instructor self-assessment and reflection.

BACKGROUND

The literature on shame and shame resilience spans multiple disciplines. While psychologists and social workers have long studied shame and its effects on people in mental health contexts, education has more recently begun to explore shame as a barrier to learning.

Protective factors for students include emotionally safe and inclusive learning environments. Post-secondary classrooms provide opportunities for learners to engage in critical thinking and self-reflection, while developing essential knowledge, skills, and attitudes. These experiences can be transformative, as students explore their identities and contributions to knowledge and society.

HOW TO USE THIS RUBRIC

The Shame Resilience Rubric is based on five pillars:

- Connection
- Feedback
- Design
- Developing Empathy
- Psychological Safety

Each pillar includes evidence-based strategies to help instructors create learning environments that reduce the negative impacts of shame and foster resilience.

Instructors can use the rubric to:

- Identify shame-resilient strategies in their teaching
- Reflect on current practices
- Plan intentional changes to better support students.



Grading Implications and Recommendations

Use the rubric to total your score across the five pillars (each scored from 0–10):

- 0–24: Not meeting basic standards for shame resilience; likely negative impacts on student well-being, retention, and learning.
- 25–37: Approaching basic standards; some practices in place, but significant improvement is needed.
- 38–49: Meeting basic standards; positive signs of shame-resilient practices, though some areas may require development.
- 50: Fully meeting standards; practices support student resilience, retention, and learning through inclusive and intentional design.

Shame Resilient Classroom Rubric (Level 1)

Outcome	Excellent (10)	Good (7)	Needs	Unacceptable (0)
Outcome	Excellent (10)	G000 (7)		
			Improvement (5)	
Connection	The course is	The course	Course includes	Course is
	purposefully	includes	occasional peer	lecture-focused
	designed to build	community-	interaction but is	with no peer
	community.	building activities	primarily	interaction.
	Activities,	and encourages	instructor-	Student voice is
	as <mark>ses</mark> sments,	participation.	centered. Student	absent or
	and content	Student voice is	voice is	discouraged.
	center students	permitted but not	inconsistently	
	and scaffold	always central.	supported.	
	relationships.			
	Student voice			
	and agency are			
	supported and			
	celebrated.			
Developing	Help-seeking is	Help-seeking is	Some support is	Support systems
Empathy	encouraged with	supported and	present, but lacks	and peer learning
	visible support	peer learning is	consistency. Peer	are absent. No
	(office hours,	encouraged.	learning is	reference to
	contact info,	Community and	minimal.	campus or
	community	campus support	Community/campu	community
	resources). Adult	information is	s support not	services.
	learning	provided.	referenced.	
	principles and			
	peer learning are			



Feedback	integrated. Student strengths and prior experiences are valued. Feedback aligns with course objectives,	Feedback is clear and objective- focused. Bias-	Feedback is vague or inconsistent. Limited effort to	Feedback is unclear or harmful. Coded
	avoids coded language, and reflects bias- aware practices. Materials and communication are equitable and transparent.	aware practices are not evident but language is respectful.	avoid bias or coded language.	language and bias are evident in materials or communication.
Psychological Safety	Trauma-informed practices are applied. Universal Design	Trauma-informed practices and UDL are evident. Students are	Trauma-informed practices and UDL are inconsistent or underdeveloped.	Course lacks trauma-informed or inclusive practices.
	for Learning (UDL) is visible. Students see themselves reflected in course design. Classroom management is co-created with clear, respectful boundaries.	encouraged to participate. Boundaries and expectations are instructor-led but clear.	Students may not feel represented. Expectations are vague.	Boundaries and expectations are unclear or intrusive.
Design	Assignments are scaffolded with transparent rubrics. Due dates are clear. Flexible policies (e.g., grace days, late passes) are in place.	Assignments are scaffolded and have clear rubrics. Policies are fixed with no flexibility.	Assignments and expectations are unclear or sporadic. No flexibility in policy.	Assignments are unclear or inconsistent. No rubrics or flexibility.



REFERENCES

Brackett, M. A., Bailey, C. S., Hoffmann, J. D., & Simmons, D. N. (2019). RULER: A Theory-Driven, Systemic Approach to Social, Emotional, and Academic Learning. Educational Psychologist, 54(3), 144–161. https://proxy.assiniboine.net:4132/10.1080/00461520.2019.1614447

Brown, B. (2018). Dare to Lead: Brave Work. Tough Conversations. Whole Hearts. Random House.

Brown, B. (2008). I Thought it was Just Me (but it Isn't): Making the Journey from" what Will People Think?" to" I Am Enough". Avery.

Brown, B. (2007). Shame resilience theory. Contemporary human behavior theory: A critical perspective for social work. Carello, Janice and Butler, Lisa (2015) Practicing What We Teach: Trauma-Informed Educational Practice, Journal of Teaching in Social Work, 35:3, 262-278, DOI: 10.1080/08841233.2015.1030059

Cotler, J. L., DiTursi, D., Goldstein, I., Yates, J., & Del Belso, D. (2017). A mindful approach to teaching. Information Systems Education Journal, 15(1), 12.

Harrisson, E. G. (2006). Working with Faculty toward Universally Designed Instruction: The Process of Dynamic Course Design. Journal of Postsecondary Education and Disability, 19(2), 152-162.

Johnson, J., Bauman, C., & Pociask, S. (2019). Teaching the whole student: Integrating wellness education into the academic classroom. Student Success, 10(3), 92.

Kasabova, A. (2017). From shame to shaming: Towards an analysis of shame narratives. Open Cultural Studies, 1(1), 99-112.

Rata, E., McPhail, G., & Barrett, B. (2019). An engaging pedagogy for an academic curriculum. Curriculum Journal, 30(2), 162–180.

https://proxy.assiniboine.net:4132/10.1080/09585176.2018.1557535

Vito-Thomas, D., Allyn, P., Wagner, L. B., Hodges, T., & Streitmatter, S. S. (2018). The Evidence-Based Practice Fulcrum: Balancing Leadership and Emotional Intelligence in Nursing and Interprofessional Education.

Lee, A., Williams, R., & Kilaberia, R. (2012). Engaging Diversity in First-Year College Classrooms. Innovative Higher Education, 37(3), 199–213. https://proxy.assiniboine.net:4132/10.1007/s10755-011-9195-7

Assiniboine College is privileged to provide learning opportunities on the lands of the Dakota Oyate, Nakoda Oyate, Ininiwak, Nehethowak, Nehiyawak, Anishininewuk, Denesuline, Anishinaabeg, and the National Homeland of the Red River Métis.

Copyright © 2025 Assiniboine College. For requests to use this copyright-protected 5